

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LEVI DALE LASTER, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

RONNIE MIMMICK, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:25-cv-1134-WBS-CSK P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On October 21, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff did not file objections to the findings and recommendations.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

////


1 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602  
2 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.  
3 See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having  
4 reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record  
5 and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

7 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 21) are adopted in full; and

8 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule  
9 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

10 Dated: November 19, 2025

  
WILLIAM B. SHUBB  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11  
12  
13  
14 /last25cv1134.802  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28